United States District Court Western District of New York

Carlos A. Santiago, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

City of Rochester, et.al.

Defendants.



Permission for Expedited Hearing

On Appointment of ProBono Counsel
614 CV 6719
619 CV 6859
619 CV 6860

Dear Honorable Mark W. Pedersen,

We, Carlos A Santiago and Melissa A Laffredo, Plaintiffs, Pro Se In Forma Pauperis, Are asking if it pleases the court to be granted an expedited hearing in the matter of our appointment of pro bono counsel. We received a letter informing us that Mr. Wolford Esq., was going to be our appointed pro bono counsel. We phoned judges chambers and stated that it was a conflict of interest because his daughter is Elizabeth Wolford, Chief Judge, in our United States District Court, Western District of New York. We also spoke with Amanda Williams, Pro Bono Program Administrator, in Buffalo, New York. She stated that if we had an issue with the attorney we didn't have to sign the papers for the appointment of counsel, we had until October 21, 2021, which is today to decide if we agreed to Mr. Wolford as our ProBono counsel. However we received a letter yesterday which was 20 October 2021 We phoned Ms. Williams immediately spoke to her in depth regarding the new attorney that is up for the appointment of Carlos and I for these cases and his name is Roy Mura. We researched Mr. Mura's LawFirm and found that there is a gentleman named William Lorenz Jr who does civil litigation of the sorts, civil rights violations he does have this experience. We asked if we would be only working with Mr. Mura or we were going to be working with this gentleman also because he is part of his law firm, we would be going to make a decision whether or not we were going to sign for the appointment of pro bono counsel, no later than Nov 15, 2021. Also that letter stated that Mr. Wolford had a conflict of interest with the defendants which is questionable because we called Ms Williams with the original statement of conflict of interest. From the beginning with and Now, oh yes, he is no longer going to be appointed as our attorney, AS HE IS THE ONE NOW WITH THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST, as Ms Williams stated that Honorable Peterson you yourself

hand selected Roy Mira spoke to him and then she phoned him and made sure that there was no conflict THIS TIME, and that he accepted the appointment to be our a pro bono counsel in these matters and then we phoned Judges Chambers, AGAIN, yesterday left a message and stated that we had a few questions. We spoke to Nathan this morning and he called us back and said that he and Ms. Williams do not have ANY say so as to who we are appointed AND AGAIN OUR question was is that if you, yourself, are Honorable Pedersen? AND you hand selected Mr. Mura as Carlos and I, OUR pro bono appointment of counsel then why if and since, he does not have any civil litigation civil rights background AND?OR one of his law firm members does? and if you were appointing him to us then we first asked on May 30 of 2019. the first time Carlos had asked for representation that we were working with Anna Marie Richmond, I spelled her name wrong and I put Richardson on it is referring to document number 56 in case number 614 CV 6719 in front of Honorable Feldman prior to you being appointed as the magistrate, as he did retire., and other documents included was entered into the docket on September 27, 2019 and then was 59 filed on October 30, 2019 than November 15, 2019 and also our status conference that we spoke, you, Honorable Pedersen, and Carlos, Melissa, Noone, et al....We are including the testimony from when we spoke and I had asked for Anna Marie Richmond to be appointed to Carlos and I as pro bono counsel are referring to pages 26-page 30. May we be granted an expedited hearing/conference as soon as possible, that would be such a wonderful, BLESSING, as we all can get to the absolute truth. In such forthrightness, if you do make the appointment, as you ask an attorney to take the appointment, we would like AGAIN, and AGAIN, AGAIN, please Anna Marie Richmond, ESQ. Lafayette Building, Buffalo, NY., to be our appointed pro bono counsel as she has had many successes in the United States District Court Western District of New York SPECIALIZING IN CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS, 42 USC 1983 POLICE MISCONDUCT, for police misconduct, excessive force and civil rights violations as she is on a pro bono wheel, AMONG OTHER THINGS AND HER ACCREDIDATIONS. WE speak for OURselves as she was our help in the PRO SE Department are back in September 2019 she did help us put together our first day of interrogatories and document requests that we had sent to Patrick Beath for the city of Rochester, SHAWN JORDAN 614CV6719 so if you could please grant us an expedited hearing so we can RECEIVE THE CORRECT LAWFUL TRUTHFUL APPOINTMENT of ProBono COUNSEL, respectfully, with GOD

We are asking if it pleases the court, have a very blessed day!

Carlos a Santrago

Best Regards,

necisse & Saffredo

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 48 Filed 05/28/19 Page 1 of 30

United States District Court Wodern District of New York Pegs DISTRICT

MAY 28 2019

Dear Honorable Geraci,

I, carlos A. Santiago, am writing this letter May 28th 2019 to add additional documentation in reference to the may 23rd 2019 letter asking for a court order to remove John R. Parrinello as my counsel. Attached is what I received from Mr. Parrinello after he asked me to leave his office and he stated that he would no longer represent me in this case because I did not want to dismiss the action against Nurse JeanAnne O'Dell. I had a telephone conversation with Mr. Parrinello

decision. The letter dated May 20th 2019 mos released as my counsel upon your all information to my case unless to he was what to repease any and Decause I already nod them, He sold 'solder his own copies or pay for appeal of men timbib and as seen the didn't neve of some were mine and I personally goine to whate to give me any of my AIR, whether Soon and that but to soil to that he was said come Tuesday, which is Today, way USE IN reference to my case and he That I parsonally purchased and lethin to give me back all my paperwork min paides place into pan babin no

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 48 Filed 05/28/19 Page 3 of 30

states at the attaclosure, Please have your new attorney contact me so I can forward to him/ner your file, however, I began this case Pro Se, and obviously, we all, you, I, and Mr. Parrinello, are completely aware of this mater. Could you please help me and appoint me an attorney because I cannot afford to hire one at this time. BIF Mr. Parrinello said he was no longer my attorney, why State that my new attorney can have fix did he because your the only one who can make the decision as to whether he has a good enough reason to not represent me any longer. Also may I please have a scheduling order mailed

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 48 Filed 05/28/19 Page 4 of 30

to me 71 Hillview Dr. Rochester, NY 1462. I do not want there to be any negative consequences as substitution of counsel will not affect any scheduled proceedings or deadlines in the action, I am unsure what's really going on and I need your help. Thank you and God Bless. Please reviewall the attached documents as I had no idea Mr. Parrinello was even in contact with Ms. O'Dell's attorney. I did not even Know that she answered to the amended complaintin December 2018. I have been "in the dark" when this has been going on. Mr. Parinello has not

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 48 Filed 05/28/19 Page 5 of 30

been honest with me and I feel completely humiliated and under minded. Please help me, this is very wrong. Thank You again and God Bless.

3/28/19 Cerlos Mantings

### Case 6:19-cv-06860-FPG-MJP Document 38 Filed 10/21/21 Page 8 of 23

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 53 Filed 08/09/19 Page 1 of 28/9 | 19 Case: Santiago V. The city of Rochester tase #: 6:14-CN-06719-FPG-JWF I carlos Santiago, have just received my files and paperwork from Mr. Parrinello as of yesterday 8/8/19. Also, I have tried to schedule an appointment to meet with Patrick Boath to go over the document demands and interrogatories and have been unsuccessful Per the amended scheduling order, discovery is to be completed by 8/30/19. t am asking for an extension to the amended scheduling order to complete the interrogatories and also depositions have not taken place.

,	Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 53 Filed 08/09/19 Page 2 of 2
	If it is associate to lookessin.
	If it is possible to begassigned
	counsel in this matter, I would
	greatly appreciate that. I am
	certain I could work better with
	assigned counsel. Please contact
	me as soon as possible, I look
	forward to your response.
	Best Regards.
	Best Regards, Carlos A Santiago
The state of the s	(585) 953-2441
	71 Hillvilw Dr.
	Rochester, NY 46002
	\$19119
	A A X + 5 cm
	(All Dan regg

# Cases 61940 00860 FP 61 MIP Door ment 385 Filed 101/21/21 Page 10 of 23 M

SEP 2 7 2019

Officer Snawn Jordan, Jean Anne ODell, RN, Defendants

To whom It May Concern:

6: 14 - CV - 06719 - FPG-JWE

I, Carlos A Santiago, nave been diligentry working on my case, (Pro Se, Informa Propercis) and have previously asked the court to appoint me representation to help and assist Never the 1853 me in this matter, books on September 27, 2019 I went to Buffalo, NY for Pro Se Assistance at the Federal Building. I was blessed today as I was working with Anne Marie Richardson. I would like the permission to ask the Western District Federal Court (USDC, WDNY) to appoint her as my counsel, as she works on Pro Bono cases in Federal Court, as well

as her expertise in 4a USC- 1983 matters.

She helped me edit and discover new questions to submit as my first set of Interrogatories and documentation If it pleases I humbly requests. The court to coursider my request, as I locally very much appreciate Anne Marie Richardson to be my course!

Pro Bono, in this matter 6:14-CV-06719-FPG-JWF

Carlos A Daystiagos
9/27/19

# Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 59 Filed 10/30/19 Page 1 of 1

united States District Court Western District of New York

October 30, 2019

Carlos A. Santiago,

Plaintiff

Index No.

V.

6:14-CV-05719-FPG-JWF

Officer Shawn Jordan and Jeananne D'Dell, R.N.,

pefendants,

I, Carlos A. Santiago, would like to ask.

the court for an additional extension of

time to complete discovery as I am awaiting

to be appointed coursel. I will be filling my

First set of Interrogatories and bocumentation

Requests for both defendants with the Clerk's

office today also mailing said documents

to Defendants Attorneys.

Carlos a Xantisas

# Case 6:19-cv-06860-FPG-MJP Document 38 Filed 10/21/21 Page 13 of 23

Case 6:14-cv-06719-FPG-MJP Document 63 File 1/15/19 Page 1 of 2 019

To whom It may concern:

6:14 - CV - 06719- FPG - MJP

I, Carlos A. Santiago an writing this letter to inquire about the extension for discovery. I was given a second chance on life recently I was hospitalized for a severe appendix infection resulting in a laproscopic appendectomy. I was hospitalized for 7 days after surgery and I am taking antibiotics because I still have an infection from The appedicitis. I am not "out of the woods" yet as I have a 20-40% chance of developing an abscess. I asked for napped to be appointed an abscess. I have been working diligently on to me as I have been working diligently on my case Pro Se with my fiance Melissa La ffredo. I also asked for an additional extension in the discovery phase because Mr. Parrinello failed to draw up any Interrogatory and Document Requests for the Defendants. I submitted to the court as well as to the Defendants my first set of thelmogatories and Document Requests a couple weeks ago to show mey progress.

# Case 6:19-cv-06860-FPG-MJP Document 38 Filed 10/21/21 Page 14 of 23

19th 2019 a deposition in front of recorded transcriptionist.

I will be contacting Mr. Beath to ask for this

To be rescheduled as I am taking antibiotics
to be rescheduled as I am taking antibiotics
and controlled substance pain reliever. I am in no

condition to be on the stand recounting events
of 3/6/12. If it pleases the court, I again
am asking for an attorney to be appointed to me
and also for an additional extension in the discovery
and also for an additional extension in the discovery

Best Regards,

Carlos A. Sartiago

11/15/19

1 C. SANTIAGO VS. CITY OF ROCHESTER, ET AL 2 that. 13:45:40 3 MS. LAFFREDO: I never knew there was a 13:45:40 13:45:42 4 motion for summary judgment. 13:45:46 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Okay. Mr. Bock, 13:45:49 6 you want to print a docket sheet out? 7 MR. SANTIAGO: The attorney we had before 13:45:52 did not communicate with us at all, so that is why we 8 13:45:54 9 had to get rid of him, with all of the other attorneys 13:45:59 10 as well. 13:46:02 13:46:02 11 MS. LAFFREDO: And, also, if I may, when 12 Honorable Feldman was presiding before you, he had -- he 13:46:09 13 asked us, too, if we needed representation from the 13:46:13 13:46:17 14 Court, and we said yes. And what happened was Baase and 15 Pfalzgraf, came into our lives at that point. However, 13:46:25 16 that is the third set of attorneys that we've had, and, 13:46:28 17 at this point, we really need the Court to appoint an 13:46:34 13:46:40 18 attorney for us. We're at the point where I can only do so much and I read the federal rules and the local rules 19 13:46:57 20 so many times and I pull out information and it's very 13:47:00 13:47:04 21 stressful. I'm only one person. I can't -- I need 22 somebody who is going to fight for Carlos and myself and 13:47:08 23 fight for what has happened and the violation of his 13:47:13 24 human rights and his civil rights. It has just 13:47:18 continued and continued years and years. It's a vicious 25 13:47:24

1 C. SANTIAGO VS. CITY OF ROCHESTER, ET AL 2 cycle, and we really need to be represented by somebody 13:47:27 that has our best interest at hand. 3 13:47:30 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Did Judge 13:47:34 5 13:47:49 Feldman assign the Rupp firm? 6 MS. LAFFREDO: At that point, I mean, he 13:47:51 7 stepped down. 13:47:53 13:47:54 MR. SANTIAGO: If you go back to the 13:47:55 9 minutes, go back in the minutes. 10 MS. LAFFREDO: He stepped down and he said 13:47:57 11 to do my best and gather as much as I could and do the 13:47:59 12 best that I could and he would address it at a later 13:48:04 13 time and then he stepped down and there was a woman that 13:48:07 we worked with in Buffalo, and we asked if she could be 14 13:48:10 15 -- I had written a document and turned it into the 13:48:17 16 Court, if she could be the representation for Carlos and 13:48:20 17 I. I had spelled her last name wrong. It's not Ann 13:48:23 Marie Richardson, it's Ann Marie Richards, and she works 13:48:27 18 19 pro bono for U.S. District Court. And I asked for her 13:48:33 20 representation. I had spelled the last name wrong and 13:48:57 21 they said we don't know who that is, she doesn't exist. 13:48:59 And that is what I'm asking for. I'm asking for her 22 13:49:03 23 representation, if she is still part of the pro bono 13:49:06 action. However, you guys, I don't know if it's 24 13:49:11 considered pro bono if the United States District Court 25 13:49:14

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

. 4

13:49:19

13:49:24

13:49:26

13:49:27

13:49:53

13:49:58

13:50:01

13:50:07

13:50:11

13:50:17

13:50:21

13:50:23

13:50:26

13:50:27

13:50:32

13:50:38

13:50:41

13:50:47

13:51:12

13:51:16

13:51:29

13:51:32

13:51:35

13:51:39

C. SANTIAGO VS. CITY OF ROCHESTER, ET AL finds an attorney, but her name is Ann Marie Richmond, not Richardson.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Okay. I'm just looking through the docket here. Okay. So you were represented by counsel as of May 22, 2020, because Judge Geraci mentions that in his text order, so counsel probably made an appearance prior to that. Motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. It doesn't look like this was referred to Judge Feldman. It sat for quite a while. You were granted --

MS. LAFFREDO: It was the date that we were in front of Judge Feldman quite some time ago.

MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: This case was --- the District Judge Geraci granted in forma pauperis
on November 22, 1919. Ordered you to file an amended
Complaint. You requested extended time to do that and
then you filed your amended Complaint, February 13 of
2020. On May 22, 2020 ECF No. 8, if you look at No. 8,
"Plaintiffs now represented by counsel filed an amended
complaint ECF No. 7: "Because Plaintiffs are no longer
proceeding pro se, the Court is no longer required to
screen the amended Complaint, therefore, the Clerk of
Court shall issue a summons and shall cause the United
States Marshal to serve the Defendants. So ordered."

```
1
                    C. SANTIAGO VS. CITY OF ROCHESTER, ET AL
        2
            Signed by Judge Geraci. Summons was issued and there
13:51:39
        3
            was an acknowledgement of service. Answer was filed
13:51:43
            July 6th, 2020. And then on July 7th, 2020, the case
13:51:49
            was assigned to me because I took office November 6th,
13:51:53
            2019. So this particular case was never before Judge
13:51:57
        7
            Feldman. And we had a status conference.
13:52:02
        8
                        MS. LAFFREDO: Right. It was prior to all
13:52:10
       9
            of this happening when we were in front of Judge
13:52:11
       10
            Feldman, so it was probably --
13:52:14
       11
                        MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Probably on the
13:52:15
      12
            '14 case.
13:52:16
13:52:17 13
                        MS. LAFFREDO: It was for the '14 case.
       14
                        MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Yeah, probably
13:52:19
      15
            on the '14 case. So there is no request for
13:52:20
13:52:23
       16
            representation in this case. Is that something you
       17
            would like to make?
13:52:26
                        MS. LAFFREDO: Yes, please. For all of --
       18
13:52:27
                        MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: All of the
       19
13:52:30
       20
            cases?
13:52:31
                        MS. LAFFREDO: Yes.
13:52:31
       21
                         MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: So what I
       22
13:52:32
       23
            suggest what you do is file a motion in each of the
13:52:32
       24
            cases. That will probably disrupt the schedule again.
13:52:36
       25
                         MS. LAFFREDO: I understand. We do need
13:52:56
```

1 C. SANTIAGO VS. CITY OF ROCHESTER, ET AL 2 representation, so whatever needs to happen first. 13:52:58 3 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Let me tell you 13:53:00 13:53:02 something off of the record for a moment. (Whereupon, there was a discussion off the 13:53:02 6 record.) 13:53:06 7 THE CLERK: One moment, your Honor. We are 13:53:06 8 back on the record. 13:53:10 9 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: While off the 13:53:11 10 record, we had a brief discussion about the prior 13:53:13 attorney's withdrawal and I informed both Plaintiffs if 13:53:27 11 13:53:29 12 they wish to make a motion for appointment of counsel 13:53:33 13 pro bono, they may do so in each of these cases, that it may disrupt the schedule that we're putting in place, 13:53:36 14 but so be it. The only thing I see pending in case No. 13:53:39 15 6859 is a motion filed on October 16th, 2020, docket No. 13:53:45 16 21, and it's titled "Motion to Dismiss for Failure to 17 13:53:52 State a Claim." Let me make sure that is really what it 18 13:53:58 is. We're looking at the Notice of Motion signed by Mr. 19 13:54:01 Noone and it's looking for 12(c) relief. It's not a 13:54:04 20 21 summary judgment, it's a 12(c). 13:54:10 22 MR. NOONE: Yes. 13:54:12 23 MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEDERSEN: Okay. So 13:54:12 pending 12(c) motion. And, Ms. Laffredo, if you read 24 13:54:15 the rules, you understand the difference between Rule 12 25 13:54:20

Hasler
09/13/2021
US POSTAGE \$00.5 MM
ZIP 14202
217 14202
011D11625333

Melissa Laffredo 37 Linnet Street Rochester, NY 14613

The state of the s

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE BUFFALO, NY 14202-3350

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of New York

## Robert H. Jackson United States Courthouse 2 Niagara Square Buffalo, NY 14202-3350

Amanda G. Williams, Esq. Pro Bono Program Administrator

(716) 551-1511 Amanda Williams@nywd.uscourts.gov

Melissa Laffredo 37 Linnet Street Rochester, NY 14613

September 10, 2021

Notices of Appointment and Client Acknowledgement, Re: Santiago, et al., v. City of Rochester Police Dept., et al, 19-cv-6860

Dear Ms. Laffredo:

As you are aware, Judge Pedersen issued an order on August 31, 2021 (ECF No. 37), authorizing a limited-scope appointment of *pro bono* counsel in the above-referenced matter to represent you to conduct discovery.

The Court has selected Michael Wolford, Esq., to represent you *pro bono*, in this matter for the limited scope described above. Attached please find two copies of the "Notice of Appointment and Client Acknowledgement" required by L.R. Civ. P. 83.8(C)(2). One copy is for you to keep for your records and the other is for you to read, sign, and return directly to me, before Mr. Wolford may be formally appointed as *pro bono* counsel in this matter by the Court for the limited purpose described in ECF No. 37. If possible, you may scan and email me a copy of the signed Notice, in lieu of sending a hard copy by mail to the address listed above. If you do not return a signed copy of the attached form within twenty-one business days from the date of this letter by October 12, 2021, no *pro bono* appointment will be made.

Once Judge Pedersen issues an order formally appointing Michael Wolford, Esq., as *pro bono* counsel in this matter, he will contact you directly. In the meantime, please do not attempt to contact Mr. Wolford. If you have any questions regarding the Court's pro bono appointment process, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Amanda G. Williams, Esq.

Pro Bono Program Administrator
United States District Court for the Western District of New York

FIRST-CLASS MAIL \$00,73 ZIP 14202 011D11625333

IS OCT ZOZIPM SIL BUFFALO NY 140

10/18/2021 Hasler

> Nounciter, NY 14613 Melissa Laffredo 37 Linet Street

February Street, and the second secon ACCURE - City

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE BUFFALO, NY 14202-3350

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of New York

Robert H. Jackson United States Courthouse 2 Niagara Square Buffalo, NY 14202-3350

Amanda G. Williams, Esq. Pro Bono Program Administrator

(716) 551-1511 Amanda\_Williams@nywd.uscourts.gov

Melissa Laffredo 37 Linnet Street Rochester, NY 14613

October 15, 2021

Notice of Appointment and Client Acknowledgement, Re: Santiago, et al., v. City of Rochester Police Dept., et al, 19-cv-6860

Dear Ms. Laffredo:

As you are aware, Judge Pedersen issued an order on August 31, 2021 (ECF No. 37), authorizing a limited-scope appointment of *pro bono* counsel in the above-referenced matter to represent you to conduct discovery. Previously the Court selected Michael Wolford, Esq., of Bond Schoeneck & King, to represent you. However, subsequent to the previous Notice that I sent you, Mr. Wolford's law firm identified a conflict with the defendants in this matter and he is no longer able to accept this *pro bono* appointment.

Therefore, the Court has selected Roy Mura, Esq., of the Mura Law Group, to represent you *pro bono* in this matter for the limited scope described above. Attached please find two copies of the "Notice of Appointment and Client Acknowledgement" required by L.R. Civ. P. 83.8(C)(2). One copy is for you to keep for your records and the other is for you to read, sign, and return directly to me, before Mr. Mura may be formally appointed as *pro bono* counsel in this matter by the Court for the limited purpose described in ECF No. 37. If possible, you may scan and email me a copy of the signed Notice, in lieu of sending a hard copy by mail to the address listed above. If you do not return a signed copy of the attached form within twenty-one business days from the date of this letter by November 15, 2021, no *pro bono* appointment will be made.

Once Judge Pedersen issues an order formally appointing Roy Mura, Esq., as *pro bono* counsel in this matter, he will contact you directly. In the meantime, please do not attempt to contact Mr. Mura. If you have any questions regarding the Court's *pro bono* appointment process, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Amanda G. Williams, Esq.
Pro Bono Program Administrator
United States District Court for the Western District of New York